# STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

Sch	ool	Food A	Authori	ty Name: St. Rita Church								
Dat	Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): May 14, 2019											
Dat	Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: May 14, 2019											
	SFA/Sponsor CNIPS Number: 12335											
<b>5</b> F	•											
Review Month: April												
On	site	Review	v Date:	May 14, 2019								
Sch	ools	Revie	wed: St	Rita School								
Rev	view	ers• Kii	m Wise									
IXC	V 1 C VV	CI S. IXII	III VVISC									
Ge	nera	l Prog	ram Pa	rticipation								
1.	Wha	on Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)										
	$\boxtimes$			Breakfast Program								
	$\boxtimes$		Nationa	al School Lunch Program								
			Fresh F	ruit and Vegetable Program								
			Aftersc	hool Snack								
			Special	Milk Program								
			Seamle	ss Summer Option								
2.	Doe	s the S	chool F	ood Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)								
				unity Eligibility Provision								
			Special	Provision 1								
			Special	Provision 2								
			Special	Provision 3								
	$\boxtimes$		N/A									
3.	Weı	e any f	indings	identified during the review of this School Food Authority?								
		A. Pr	ogram	Access and Reimbursement								
		YES	NO									
		•	0	Certification and Benefit Issuance								

•	0	Verification
0	•	Meal Counting and Claiming

#### Finding(s) and Commendation(s)

The SFA did not process household applications in compliance with 7 CFR 245.6(a). The SFA gave a \$100 variance above and below the USDA income eligibility guidelines. The SFA converted income to weekly when different income frequencies were reported. The SFA gave DC benefits to children not on the Statewide DC List.

The SFA did not implement the verification process in accordance with 7 CFR 245.6(a). The SFA chose two applications with incorrect determinations for verification. The original determination should have been paid. The SFA collected income that was not representative of the application income. The SFA did not give a toll free or collect call option. The SFA used the wrong Discrimination Statement. No confirming or verifying signature on the applications.

B. M	B. Meal Pattern and Nutritional Quality						
YES	NO						
0	•	Meal Components and Quantities					
0	•	Offer versus Serve					
0	•	Dietary Specification and Nutrient Analysis					

### Finding(s) and Commendation(s)

Commendations:

The FSD and manager are very passionate about feeding the students and are open to new ideas to improve the program.

The kitchen staff are very knowledgeable about the meal pattern. Excellent meal service!

C. School Nutrition Environment					
YES	NO				
0	•	Food Safety			
0	•	Local School Wellness Policy			
0	•	Competitive Foods			
0	•	Other			

## Finding(s) and Commendation(s)

Click here to enter text.

D. Civil Rights					
YES	NO				
•	0	Civil Rights			

#### Finding(s) and Commendation(s)

The SFA did not comply with civil rights requirements as applicable to the Child Nutrition Programs, i.e., NSLP (including the Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program, Seamless Summer Option, and afterschool snacks), SBP, and SMP, in accordance with FNS 113-1. The SFA did not have the correct nondiscrimination statement on all documents that reference FNS programs. The breakfast information flyer does not include the nondiscrimination statement and the request for verification letter has an incorrect nondiscrimination statement.